Normal amygdala activation but deficient ventrolateral prefrontal activation in adults with bipolar disorder during euthymia
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A B S T R A C T

Functional neuroimaging studies have implicated the involvement of the amygdala and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC) in the pathophysiology of bipolar disorder. Hyperactivity in the amygdala and hypoactivity in the vlPFC have been reported in manic bipolar patients scanned during the performance of an affective faces task. Whether this pattern of dysfunction persists during euthymia is unclear. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), 24 euthymic bipolar and 26 demographically matched healthy control subjects were scanned while performing an affective task paradigm involving the matching and labeling of emotional facial expressions. Neuroimaging results showed that, while amygdala activation did not differ significantly between groups, euthymic patients showed a significant decrease in activation of the right vlPFC (BA47) compared to healthy controls during emotion labeling. Additionally, significantly decreases in activation of the right insula, putamen, thalamus and lingual gyrus were observed in euthymic bipolar relative to healthy control subjects during the emotion labeling condition. These data, taken in context with prior studies of bipolar mania using the same emotion recognition task, could suggest that amygdala dysfunction may be a state-related abnormality in bipolar disorder, whereas vlPFC dysfunction may represent a trait-related abnormality of the illness. Characterizing these patterns of activation is likely to help in understanding the neural changes related to the different mood states in bipolar disorder, as well as changes that represent more sustained abnormalities. Future studies that assess mood-state related changes in brain activation in longitudinal bipolar samples would be of interest.

Introduction

Studies utilizing functional MRI (fMRI) in combination with neuropsychological task paradigms have deepened our understanding of the functional abnormalities that characterize bipolar disorder. fMRI studies of mania, including work from our group, have demonstrated decreased functioning of the ventrolateral prefrontal cortices (vlPFC) (Altshuler et al., 2005a, 2005b; Blumberg et al., 2003; Elliott et al., 2004; Foland et al., 2008; Mazzola-Pomietto et al., 2009), as well as increased activation of the amygdala (Altshuler et al., 2005a; Bermpohl et al., 2009; Foland et al., 2008). Whether this fronto-limbic dysfunction persists between episodes (i.e. during euthymia), however, is unknown.

Until recently, it was believed that between episodes of depression and mania, patients with bipolar disorder experience symptom resolution and return to a state of relative “normality” (Malhi et al., 2004). However, three independent studies have observed blunted vlPFC activation in euthymic patients relative to healthy controls during the performance of a cognitive interference (Stroop) task (Blumberg et al., 2003; Kronhaus et al., 2006; Malhi et al., 2005). Recent data from our group has also shown diminished activation of the vlPFC in euthymic bipolar subjects scanned during the performance of a behavioral inhibition (Go/No-Go) task (Townsend et al., 2007). These reductions may relate to residual symptoms of impulsivity (Olley et al., 2005; Swann et al., 2001) and emotional dysregulation (Harmer et al., 2002) that have been reported to persist during euthymia.

In contrast, functional neuroimaging studies using emotion perception or emotion regulation tasks that probe activation level of...
the amygdala have found no evidence for a persistent functional abnormality of this region during euthymia (Hassel et al., 2008; Malhi et al., 2007a, 2007b; Robinson et al., 2008; Wessa et al., 2007). It is possible therefore, that, whereas vIPFC hypofunction might represent a trait-related neural disturbance in bipolar disorder, amygdala hyperactivation could be state-related. In the current study, we aimed to further elucidate patterns of neural function in fronto-limbic networks of euthymic bipolar adults using a task that directly probes functioning in these regions (Hariiri et al., 2000). Based on prior evidence suggesting that a normalization of amygdala response occurs during remission (Kaladjian et al., 2009) and data from functional neuroimaging studies showing dysfunction of the vIPFC occurs both in mania (Altsuler et al., 2005a, 2005b; Foland et al., 2008) and euthymia (Blumberg et al., 2003; Kronhaus et al., 2006; Malhi et al., 2005; Townsend et al., 2007), we hypothesized that there would be an abnormality in activation of the vIPFC, but not amygdala, in euthymic bipolar subjects compared to healthy controls during an emotion identification task.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The Institutional Review Boards at UCLA and the VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System approved the study. Each subject provided written informed consent. Subjects with bipolar I disorder were recruited through the outpatient UCLA Mood Disorders Clinic, and the outpatient Bipolar Disorders Clinic of the Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Health Care System. Control subjects were recruited by advertisement in local newspapers and campus flyers. All subjects were evaluated using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) to confirm diagnosis or absence thereof. Illness duration and medication information for patients was obtained by self-report and by reference to medical records when available. Exclusion criteria for all subjects included left-handedness, hypertension, neurological illness, metal implants and a history of skull fracture or head trauma with loss of consciousness ≤5 min. Bipolar subjects with other active Axis I co-morbidities were also excluded. Other exclusionary criteria for healthy controls included current or past psychiatric diagnoses (including history of substance abuse) or current medication use. Euthymia was defined by lack of meeting criteria for a current manic, hypomanic or depressive mood episode according to the SCID, as well as having a Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS; Young et al., 1978) score of ≤7, and a 21-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D; Hamilton, 1960) score of ≤7 on the day of scanning.

In total, 24 subjects with bipolar type I disorder (9f; 38.8±12.8 years), currently euthymic, and 26 demographically comparable healthy control subjects (11f; 37.9±13.3 years) were included in the study. Demographic and clinical characteristics for all subjects are presented in Table 1. No patients were taking lithium medication; 8 (33%) were taking no medications. The remaining 16 bipolar subjects were on a range of medications including anticonvulsants (n = 10, 42%; divalprox sodium, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine), anti-psychotics (n = 11, 46%; aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone) or antidepressants (n = 6, 25%; bupropion, SSRIs) to treat their bipolar illness.

Experimental paradigm

The affective task paradigm used during fMRI scanning has been detailed previously (Hariiri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007) and used in prior studies by our group examining bipolar subjects scanned during the manic mood state (Altsuler et al., 2005a, 2008; Foland et al., 2008). Briefly, this task includes several conditions, one of which robustly activates the amygdala (Hariiri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007) and another of which robustly activates the vIPFC (Hariiri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007). The amygdala activating, or “match emotion” condition requires subjects to choose one of two emotional faces at the bottom of the screen that best matches the emotional expression of a face at the top of the screen. The vIPFC activating, or “label emotion” condition requires subjects to choose one of two words at the bottom of the screen that best describes the expression of the emotional face at the top of the screen. The control (“match forms”) condition requires subjects to match one of two geometric shapes at the bottom of the screen to a target shape at the top of the screen. A fourth “label gender” condition requires subjects to choose one of two names on the bottom of the screen that best matches the gender of the emotional face at the top of the screen. The entire behavioral paradigm included 2 “match emotion” blocks, 2 “label emotion” blocks, 2 “label gender” blocks and 6 “control” blocks. Each block lasted 35 s and began with a 3 second instruction cue, followed by 8 4-second trials. The order of task presentation was balanced across subjects and subjects responded by pressing one of two buttons with their right hand.

In the current study, the contrast of “match emotion” versus “match forms” was used for the analysis of between-group differences in the activation of the amygdala, given that this task robustly activates this structure (Hariiri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007). The “label emotion” versus “match forms” contrast was used for our analysis of between-group differences in activation of the vIPFC, given that several studies (Hariiri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007) have shown that labeling emotional faces, but not matching the emotion or labeling the gender of emotional faces drives activation in this region.

Image acquisition

Functional MRI data were collected using a 3.0 Tesla (T) Siemens Allegra MRI scanner (Milwaukee, WI) using a gradient echo EPI sequence (28 slices; FOV = 200 mm, slice thickness = 3 mm, TR/
TE = 2500/25 ms, FA = 90°). A structural T2-weighted volume (FOV = 200 mm, slice thickness = 3 mm, TR/TE = 5000/34 ms, FA = 90°) was acquired coplanar to functional scans.

High-resolution T1-weighted scans were also collected for each subject on a separate 1.5 T Siemens Sonata MRI scanner (Milwaukee, WI; 160 slices; FOV = 256 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm, TR/TE = 1900/4.38 ms, FA = 15°) for an anatomy-based region of interest (ROI) analysis of the amygdala (see below).

Analysis of demographic variables

Statistical analysis of demographic variables was performed using the STATA statistical software package (www.stata.com). Group differences in categorical and continuous demographic variables were computed using 2-tailed Fisher’s exact and independent t-tests, respectively. The threshold for statistical significance was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

Behavioral data analyses

Response times and accuracy of performance were recorded for patients and control subjects for the “match emotion”, “label emotion” and “match forms” conditions. Differences between groups were assessed separately for each condition using individual 2-tailed t-tests. The threshold for statistical significance was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

fMRI data analyses

Analyses of functional neuroimaging data were performed using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool) Version 4.1.4, part of FSL (FMRIB’s Software Library, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). The first two volumes of each subject’s functional scans were discarded to allow for T1 equilibrium effects. The remaining images were realigned to compensate for small head movements (Jenkinson et al., 2002). Data were spatially smoothed using a 5 mm full-width-half maximum Gaussian kernel, temporally high-pass filtered to correct for baseline drifts, and prewhitened (Woolrich et al., 2001). Functional datasets were individually registered to participants’ coplanar anatomical images using a 6-parameter transformation. Functional data were then registered into Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using a 12-parameter transformation (Jenkinson and Smith, 2001). Once in common space, functional imaging data were analyzed statistically using the general linear model (GLM), and a design matrix was generated with a synthetic hemodynamic response function and its first derivative. This provided individual contrast maps for the “match emotion” compared with the “match forms” condition and “label emotion” compared with the “match forms” condition. Higher-level group analyses were performed using FLAME (FMRIB’s Local Analysis of Mixed Effects) (Beckmann et al., 2003; Woolrich et al., 2004). For the voxel-wise analysis of activation within- and between-groups, we report brain regions visible at a height threshold of \( Z > 1.96 \) and a cluster probability of \( p < 0.05 \), corrected for whole-brain multiple comparisons using Gaussian random field theory (GRFT). MNI voxel coordinates of peak activations were transformed to Talairach space using the MNI to Talairach Conversion Applet (www.bioimagesuite.org).

Given our a priori hypotheses regarding the amygdala and vIPFC, ROI-based analyses of these regions were also conducted to obtain more sensitive measures of activation, and to facilitate additional analyses exploring the association between activation in these regions and task type (“match emotion” versus “label emotion”), medication status (on versus off medications and medication type) and other clinical variables (further detail on each of these separate analyses is provided below). Amygdala ROIs were manually delineated on each subject’s high-resolution MPRAGE 1.5 T scan by a trained neuroanatomist (C.P.), using a previously validated protocol (Bartzokis et al., 1993). These ROIs were spatially registered to subjects’ fMRI data using a 6-parameter linear transformation to the T2-weighted 3 T structural scan, acquired coplanar to functional images (Jenkinson and Smith, 2001). vIPFC ROIs consisted of 8-mm spheres centered on the peak voxel showing a between-group difference in activation of this region in a previous study of bipolar mania using this task (Foland et al., 2008; left: \(-34, 26, -8\); right: \(36, 30, -10\)). Percent signal change, visible at a height threshold of \( Z > 1.96 \) and a corrected cluster probability of \( p < 0.05 \), was extracted from each region using featquery (part of FSL, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/feat5/featquery.html); percent signal change was extracted from each non-zero voxel within each region, then averaged across voxels to provide a regional activation value for each region of every subject, for each condition. Mean percentage signal change for the “match emotion” and “label emotion” conditions relative to the “match forms” condition was then entered into a repeated measures GLM modeling the effects of both within subjects factors (hemisphere, region, condition) and the between subjects factor (diagnostic group) to assess the main effects of each variable and their interactions.

Examination of medication effects

The impact of current medications on functional activation was explored through direct comparisons between patients who were not treated with medications (\( n = 8 \)) and those who were treated (\( n = 16 \)) at the time of scanning using a voxel-wise analysis in FSL. A height threshold of \( Z > 1.96 \) and a corrected cluster probability of \( p < 0.05 \) were used to identify significant group differences. Additional analyses exploring both the main effect of medication (on versus off medication) and medication type (anticonvulsants, antipsychotics, antidepressants) were conducted using 2-tailed t-tests on ROI-based estimates of percent signal change. The threshold for statistical significance was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

Correlation with prior course of illness

Associations between activation and prior course of illness variables (prior number of depressive episodes, prior number of manic episodes, prior number of hospitalizations for depression, prior number of hospitalizations for mania, duration of time between diagnosis and first medication treatment, age of onset and illness duration) and mood symptoms (HAM-D and YMRS scores) were explored within patients using correlation analyses with ROI-based estimates of amygdala and vIPFC percent signal change. The threshold for statistical significance was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

Results

Subject demographics

Bipolar subjects did not differ significantly from healthy controls in age, gender, educational level or race (Table 1).

Behavioral responses

No significant between-group differences were found for response times or accuracy of responses during performance of the “match emotion,” “label emotion” or “match forms” conditions. Accuracy of responses for the control and euthymic bipolar groups were 93 ± 7% and 92 ± 6% (\( p = 0.77 \)), respectively, for the “match emotion” condition, 98 ± 4% and 98 ± 6% (\( p = 0.98 \)), respectively, for the “label emotion condition, and 92 ± 2% and 92 ± 3% (\( p = 0.93 \)) respectively, for the “match forms” (control) condition. Reaction time of responses for the control and euthymic bipolar groups were 1.88 ± 0.29 and 1.82 ± 0.26 seconds (\( p = 0.50 \)), respectively, for the “match emotion” condition, 1.66 ± 0.30 and 1.77 ± 0.31 seconds (\( p = 0.21 \)), respectively, for the “label emotion” condition, and 1.08 ± 0.18 and 1.11 ± 0.24 seconds (\( p = 0.58 \)), respectively, for the “match forms” (control) condition.
fMRI responses: within groups

Fig. 1 displays the within-group regional patterns of activation for both the “match emotion” versus “match forms” and “label emotion” versus “match forms” contrasts. Consistent with previous studies using this paradigm, healthy controls demonstrated robust activation of the amygdala during the “match emotion” condition and robust activation of the vlPFC during the “label emotion” condition. These patterns of activation were further reflected in ROI-based analyses (Fig. 1), although no significant differences in activation were observed within regions, between task types (“match emotion” versus “label emotion”) for either group. Other brain regions activated by both control and bipolar subjects are shown in Fig. 1 and included areas typically involved in the higher-order processing of emotional face stimuli such as the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; BA24/32; x/y/z Talairach coordinates: 8, 20, 41; Z = 5.41) and fusiform gyrus (x/y/z Talairach coordinates -38, -51, -11; Z = 5.64; 40, -62, -10; Z = 6.30).

fMRI responses: between groups

No significant between-group differences were observed in the voxel-wise analysis of brain function during the contrast of “match emotion” versus “match forms” (Fig. 1). This pattern was further confirmed in ROI-based analyses of the amygdala and vlPFC. Significant between-group differences in activation, however, were observed in the voxel-wise analysis of “label emotion” versus “match forms.” Specifically, reductions in activation were present in euthymic patients relative to healthy controls in right ventrolateral PFC (BA47), putamen, thalamus and lingual gyrus (Fig. 1 and included areas typically involved in the higher-order processing of emotional face stimuli such as the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; BA24/32; x/y/z Talairach coordinates: 8, 20, 41; Z = 5.41) and fusiform gyrus (x/y/z Talairach coordinates -38, -51, -11; Z = 5.64; 40, -62, -10; Z = 6.30).

Examination of medication effects

No significant differences in activation were observed between medicated and unmédicated patients in either voxel-based or ROI-based analyses. Additionally, statistical analyses showed that medication type (anticonvulsants, antipsychotics or antidepressants) did not significantly impact activation level in the amygdala or vlPFC (all p's > 0.05; Fig. 2).

Correlation with prior course of illness and mood symptoms

No significant correlations were observed between prior course of illness and activation of the amygdala or vlPFC in either voxel-based or ROI-based analyses of these regions. Exploratory ROI-based analyses examining associations between activation and current mood ratings, however, revealed significant positive correlations in bipolar subjects between HAMD scores and bilateral amygdala activation during emotion labeling (left: r = 0.81, p = 0.001; right: r = 0.55, p = 0.006), but not emotion matching (p's > 0.2), and significant negative correlations in bilateral subjects between YMRS scores and bilateral vlPFC activation during emotion labeling (left: r = -0.51, p = 0.012; right: r = -0.42, p = 0.046), but not emotion matching (p's > 0.1). These correlations were not present in healthy control subjects (p's > 0.05).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to report activation on the amygdala and prefrontal brain regions during both emotion perception and emotion labeling tasks in bipolar euthymic adults. Our findings in healthy controls support previous reports (Foland et al., 2008; Hariri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007) showing robust bilateral amygdala and vlPFC activation during the
Indeed, recent unpublished observations from our group show that the ability to delay gratification, or the effortful regulation of emotion or the implicit regulation of emotion (Hariri et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007).

Using the same “match emotion” task, our group has previously reported heightened activation of the amygdala in bipolar individuals scanned during mania (Altshuler et al., 2005a; Folan et al., 2008). These findings, taken both in context with the normal amygdala reactivity observed here, and in previous studies (Hassel et al., 2008; Malihi et al., 2007b; Robinson et al., 2008; Wessa et al., 2007), further extend prior reports by demonstrating, for the first time to our knowledge, decreased activation of the vIPFC in euthymia during an emotion labeling task, a higher-order cognitive task that has been directly linked to the implicit regulation of emotion (Hassel et al., 2000; Lieberman et al., 2007).

Fig. 2. Percent signal change values extracted from the amygdala and vIPFC during the matching and labeling of emotional facial expressions, respectively, in euthymic bipolar subjects treated with anticonvulsants (N=10), antipsychotics (N=11), antidepressants (N=5) or no medications (N=8) at the time of scanning. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (s.e.m.). Signal change in unmedicated euthymic subjects did not differ from that of subjects treated with any one medication type (all p’s>0.05).

Although all subjects in the current patient sample were euthymic at the time of scanning, significant correlations were observed in bilateral subjects between HAMD scores and bilateral amygdala activation during emotion matching (left: r=0.81, p<0.001; right: r=0.55, p=0.006), and between YMRS scores and bilateral vIPFC activation during emotion labeling (left: r=−0.51, p=0.012; right: r=−0.42, p=0.046). These findings could suggest that mood symptoms, including those that are not severe enough to meet diagnostic criteria for a mood episode, could influence fronto-limbic function. These findings could also have important prognostic implications for patients; subthreshold mood symptoms, especially depressive symptoms, are common in bipolar disorder, and can be predictive of relapse into a future mood episode (Marangell, 2004). Future prospective studies, therefore, that examine whether neural activations during remission are associated not only with mood symptoms but with the onset of future mood episodes would be of interest. This is the first report, to our knowledge, to find correlations between mood symptoms and activation in a euthymic bipolar sample; future studies that replicate these findings would be helpful in ensuring their validity.

One only study to our knowledge has used the same emotion identification task in the examination of bipolar euthymia. In this study, no differences were observed between groups in activation of the amygdala. Significant increases in activation of the vIPFC, however, were found in euthymic patients relative to controls (Robinson et al., 2008). This result contrasts with our own. Differences in study findings may be due to differences in statistical analyses; the authors of this prior report examined vIPFC activation occurring across the “match emotion” and “label emotion” task conditions, combined against activation occurring during the control condition. These differences may also be due to variations in the clinical profiles of the euthymic subjects; 60% of patients in the prior report were experiencing comorbid anxiety at the time of scanning, whereas only 4% of patients in the current study reported anxiety. Future investigations that directly examine the effects of comorbid anxiety on brain function in a sample of euthymic individuals could more accurately address this latter possibility.

Group differences in functioning of the vIPFC and amygdala were the primary focus of this study. However several other regions were found to be differentially activated between groups during the contrast of “label emotion” versus “match forms.” Decreased right putamen activation, seen here in euthymic bipolar subjects, has been demonstrated in a previous study using the emotional Stroop task (Malihi et al., 2005). Reduced activation in the right thalamus was also previously found in a sample of bipolar manic subjects (Strakowski et al., 2008) suggesting that dysfunction of these subcortical structures may persist across different mood states. Our finding of reduced activation in right dorsal frontal cortex (BA8) has previously been reported in euthymic patients during tasks of working memory (Townsend et al., 2010) and reduced activation in Go/No-Go task (Townsend et al., submitted). Although activation deficits in this unpublished study and activation deficits in the current study do not show clear associations with task performance, future investigations that assess the relation between vIPFC activation and the self-control behaviors subserved by this brain region, perhaps as measured using experience sampling methods, would be of interest.

Reasons for the persistent reduction in neural activation in the vIPFC during euthymia are not clear. It is possible that structural deficits in vIPFC gray matter may contribute to reduced activation. Indeed, a reduction in gray matter thickness in BA47 has been reported in at least three prior structural neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder (Lyoo et al., 2004, 2006; Stanfield et al., 2009). Whether there is a direct and overlapping relationship between brain structure and function in this region within the same sample of bipolar subjects however is uncertain, and is the current focus of ongoing investigations by our group.
the right lingual gyrus, a region that is known to be involved in face recognition and processing of visual emotional stimuli (Mobbs et al., 2004), has been previously found in euthymic bipolar subjects as well (Malhi et al., 2005). The meaning of these findings and the relevance of the lateralization to the clinical presentation of bipolar disorder remain to be understood.
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